The amendments to claims 1 and 8 constitute changes in the terminology employed to recite the same claim elements as in the patent. Accordingly, since the same subject matter is recited, in different form, there is support in the specification for the elements as amended.

New claims 21-24 are newly presented for the Examiner's review. It is submitted that claims 21-24 are also allowable over the prior art of record. Support for the subject matter of new claims 21-24 is found, for example, in column 83, of the patent.

It is noted that claims 13-16 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting, i.e., Schneller-type double patenting. Claims 13-16 have been canceled.

The Examiner indicated that the application does not include an abstract. The front page of the patent, for which this application is a reissue application, was filed in the initial filing of the reissue application. Therefore, an abstract on a separate page is included in the application. However, the abstract is re-submitted herewith on a separate page in order to avoid any possibility of a further objection on this ground.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is submitted that the present application is now clearly in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that there are issues remaining which must be resolved before allowance of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

Mitsuaki OSHIMA et al.

//percov D. D.

Registration No. 41,471 Agent for Applicants

JRF/fs Washington, D.C. Telephone (202) 721-8200 Facsimile (202) 721-8250 March 13, 2000